



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,422	10/22/2007	John L. Telford	002441.00189	9353

27476 7590 09/21/2010
NOVARTIS VACCINES AND DIAGNOSTICS INC.
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY- X100B
P.O. BOX 8097
Emeryville, CA 94662-8097

EXAMINER

DEVI SARVAMANGALA 7N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1645

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/21/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/568,422		TELFORD ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	S. Devi, Ph.D.		1645	

All Participants:

(1) S. Devi, Ph.D.

(2) Attorney Lisa Hemmendinger.

Date of Interview: 20 September 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant's amendment filed 08/06/2010.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Attorney Hemmindinger was informed that Applicant's amendment filed 08/06/2010 is non-compliant under 37 CFR 1.121 in that the status identifier for claim 20 is incorrect. It was conveyed that although claim 20 is indicated as 'withdrawn-currently amended', the supposed amendments are not indicated by markings such as underlining and/or strikethrough. It was also conveyed that this claim 20 is very different from the previous version of claim 20 filed 04/05/2010. To avoid mailing out of a Notice of Non-compliant Amendment, it was requested that Applicants file a supplemental amendment correcting the non-compliance issue.

/S. Devi/
Primary Examiner
AU 1645

09-20-2010